

2014-10-28

Welcome back to [occ]! (Week 6)

Mid-quarter evaluation survey results

32 responses (74% response rate)

review the analytics together

Mid-quarter evaluation survey results

32 responses (74% response rate)

Things you value about the class:

- ▶ Engaging topics
- ▶ Useful insights
- ▶ Energy and enthusiasm (classroom environment)
- ▶ Guest speakers
- ▶ Experiential assignments
- ▶ No stakes quizzes
- ▶ Lectures and discussions

└ Things you value about the class:

- ▶ Engaging topics
- ▶ Useful insights
- ▶ Energy and enthusiasm (classroom environment)
- ▶ Guest speakers
- ▶ Experiential assignments
- ▶ No stakes quizzes
- ▶ Lectures and discussions

These (and subsequent) were things that were mentioned at least twice or that I felt were salient.

Things you would change/improve about the class:

- ▶ Over-broad scope – provide more clarity/structure
- ▶ Heavy/technical reading – break down or reduce
- ▶ Too much group work; groups too big; group struggles
- ▶ Clearer evaluation / grading
- ▶ Too narrow a focus on particular kinds of communities

└ Things you would change/improve about the class:

- ▶ Over-broad scope – provide more clarity/structure
- ▶ Heavy/technical reading – break down or reduce
- ▶ Too much group work; groups too big; group struggles
- ▶ Clearer evaluation / grading
- ▶ Too narrow a focus on particular kinds of communities

Additional suggestions (improve weaknesses)

2014-10-28

└─ Additional suggestions (improve weaknesses)

- ▶ Tie "flight plan" into discussion
- ▶ Clarify/organize notes on the board
- ▶ Identify key take-aways *before & after* reading/discussions
- ▶ Clarify & identify key concepts more effectively

- ▶ Tie "flight plan" into discussion
- ▶ Clarify/organize notes on the board
- ▶ Identify key take-aways *before & after* reading/discussions
- ▶ Clarify & identify key concepts more effectively

I'll be working on these over the coming weeks. Some of these comments and suggestions extend similar points made by last year's class, so while I think (based on quantitative scores and overall tone of feedback) that we've improved quite a bit, there's obviously still more to do. I'll be working to incorporate these suggestions in the coming weeks.

thank you!!!

Today's Flight Plan:

- ▶ **Foundations:** Peer production.
- ▶ **Dynamic:** Large-scale collaboration & collective action.
- ▶ **Cases:** Wikipedia; networked information economy; (commons-based) peer production; “failure for free”; granularity & modularity (last four are really more like concepts).
- ▶ **Challenge:** Explaining how & why communities produce public goods.

We'll discuss key concepts from readings in an informal activity.

Today's Flight Plan:

- **Foundations:** Peer production.
- **Dynamic:** Large-scale collaboration & collective action.
- **Cases:** Wikipedia; networked information economy; (commons-based) peer production; “failure for free”; granularity & modularity (last four are really more like concepts).
- **Challenge:** Explaining how & why communities produce public goods.

We'll discuss key concepts from readings in an informal activity.

Yochai Benkler

Online Communities & Crowds

2014-10-28

Yochai Benkler

Yochai Benkler



2014-10-28

Clay Shirky



Why are we talking about peer production?

- ▶ Take a step back & up (in terms of abstraction). Clarify bigger picture.

└ Why are we talking about peer production?

- ▶ Take a step back & up (in terms of abstraction). Clarify bigger picture.

Why are we talking about peer production?

2014-10-28

└ Why are we talking about peer production?

- ▶ Take a step back & up (in terms of abstraction). Clarify bigger picture.
- ▶ Start to synthesize & categorize some of the cases we've seen/discussed.

- ▶ Take a step back & up (in terms of abstraction). Clarify bigger picture.
- ▶ Start to synthesize & categorize some of the cases we've seen/discussed.

Why are we talking about peer production?

2014-10-28

└ Why are we talking about peer production?

- ▶ Take a step back & up (in terms of abstraction). Clarify bigger picture.
- ▶ Start to synthesize & categorize some of the cases we've seen/discussed.
- ▶ Arguably "most significant organizational innovation that has emerged from Internet-mediated social practice..." (Benkler, Shaw, & Hill, 2014).

- ▶ Take a step back & up (in terms of abstraction). Clarify bigger picture.
- ▶ Start to synthesize & categorize some of the cases we've seen/discussed.
- ▶ Arguably "most significant organizational innovation that has emerged from Internet-mediated social practice..." (Benkler, Shaw, & Hill, 2014).

Analyzing key concepts from Benkler & Shirky readings:

4 central topics

1. Industrial information economy vs. Networked information economy
2. (Commons-based) peer production
3. Failure for free
4. Granularity/modularity

└ Analyzing key concepts from Benkler & Shirky readings:

Analyzing key concepts from Benkler & Shirky readings:

4 central topics

1. Industrial information economy vs. Networked information economy
2. (Commons-based) peer production
3. Failure for free
4. Granularity/modularity

Choose a topic and find a pair (or threesome):

- ▶ Describe & explain concept(s).
- ▶ Illustrate with an example
- ▶ Explain significance (in general and/or in the context of [occ])
- ▶ Suggest how to apply this concept (as a designer/advisor/builder of a community).

In 10 minutes, we'll share/discuss perspectives!

Analyzing key concepts from Benkler & Shirky readings:

1. Industrial information economy vs. Networked information economy
2. (Commons-based) peer production
3. Failure for free
4. Granularity/modularity

Choose a topic and find a pair (or threesome):

- ▶ Describe & explain concept(s).
- ▶ Illustrate with an example
- ▶ Explain significance (in general and/or in the context of [occ])
- ▶ Suggest how to apply this concept (as a designer/advisor/builder of a community).

In 10 minutes, we'll share/discuss perspectives!

Concluding questions for everyone:

└ Concluding questions for everyone:

▶ What level(s) of analysis are we at?

▶ What level(s) of analysis are we at?

- Both Benkler and Shirky focus on meso & macro-level analysis (groups/communities/projects and society). Both also try to show how micro-level changes/factors play out at larger levels of analysis.
- Wikipedia is a canonical example. More sociality-focused communities like the WELL seem less clear. Likewise, communities and commons occurring within the control of private organizations (e.g., Yelp).

Concluding questions for everyone:

2014-10-28

└ Concluding questions for everyone:

- ▶ What level(s) of analysis are we at?
- ▶ Which communities that we've discussed seem like examples of peer production? Which do not?

- ▶ What level(s) of analysis are we at?
- ▶ Which communities that we've discussed seem like examples of peer production? Which do not?

- Both Benkler and Shirky focus on meso & macro-level analysis (groups/communities/projects and society). Both also try to show how micro-level changes/factors play out at larger levels of analysis.
- Wikipedia is a canonical example. More sociality-focused communities like the WELL seem less clear. Likewise, communities and commons occurring within the control of private organizations (e.g., Yelp).
- This is really a wide open question. Useful to analyze communities with and to try to understand the social, technological, organizational, and motivational dynamics that sustain collaboration/participation.

Concluding questions for everyone:

└ Concluding questions for everyone:

- ▶ What level(s) of analysis are we at?
- ▶ Which communities that we've discussed seem like examples of peer production? Which do not?
- ▶ How can you apply these principles more broadly?

- ▶ What level(s) of analysis are we at?
- ▶ Which communities that we've discussed seem like examples of peer production? Which do not?
- ▶ How can you apply these principles more broadly?

- Both Benkler and Shirky focus on meso & macro-level analysis (groups/communities/projects and society). Both also try to show how micro-level changes/factors play out at larger levels of analysis.
- Wikipedia is a canonical example. More sociality-focused communities like the WELL seem less clear. Likewise, communities and commons occurring within the control of private organizations (e.g., Yelp).
- This is really a wide open question. Useful to analyze communities with and to try to understand the social, technological, organizational, and motivational dynamics that sustain collaboration/participation.

Prepare for next time:

- ▶ Fogel & Stallman readings. Debian social contract.
- ▶ Focus #1 origins and history of free/libre & open source (FLOSS) software.
- ▶ Focus #2 understand the ideals and vision behind FLOSS.
- ▶ Consider: How might movement ideals/vision impact how FLOSS projects are organized?
- ▶ Contextualize FLOSS in relation to other phenomena & communities we've analyzed.

└─ Prepare for next time:

- ▶ Fogel & Stallman readings. Debian social contract.
- ▶ Focus #1 origins and history of free/libre & open source (FLOSS) software.
- ▶ Focus #2 understand the ideals and vision behind FLOSS.
- ▶ Consider: How might movement ideals/vision impact how FLOSS projects are organized?
- ▶ Contextualize FLOSS in relation to other phenomena & communities we've analyzed.